

United States Patent and Trademark Office

nl.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,487	11/03/2003	George N. Eross	19111.0115	3244
68009 7590 05/31/2007 BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN, LLP 2020 K STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, CHAU T	
BOX IP WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2176	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/698,487	EROSS, GEORGE N.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chau Nguyen	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 March 2007</u> .					
·—	,					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	ex parto quayro, roco s					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	cepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abe tion is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attac	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/698,487 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/13/2007 has been entered. Claims 1-18 are currently pending. Claims 1 and 10 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dave Raggett, "Clean up your Web Pages with HTML Tidy", 4th version (August 2000), pgs. 1-21 ("HTML Tidy"), and further in view of Perry, US Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0261017.
- 4. Regarding independent claim 1:

Application/Control Number: 10/698,487

Art Unit: 2176

HTML Tidy teaches a method of converting a structured document (XML or HTML) into a well-formed HTML document – i.e., XHTML (see pg. 2 – Introduction to Tidy and pg. 7, 2nd paragraph from bottom).

parsing an original structured document, (...);

identifying each first level element contained within the original structured document; generating a first level XHTML content fragment corresponding to each first level element; and

HTML Tidy teaches parsing an original structured document (see pg. 19: i.e., HTML and XML Parsers) and mapping the elements contained in the original structured document with the XHTML content fragment in order to perfect the code (see pg. 2 – Examples of TIDY at work). HTML TIDY then builds a clean parse tree and generates output for the code data (see pg. 4 – Layout style; pg. 11, last paragraph).

wherein the first level XHTML fragments are generated independent of the application that created the structured document.

HTML Tidy is an independent software application that generates XHTML independent of the application that created the original structured document (see pg. 2, paragraph 1).

HTML Tidy does not explicitly teach:

Providing each first level element to an element handler, wherein a first level element is provided to an element handler established for the first level element type and element handler is operated to generate a first level XHTML content fragment, wherein the

Application/Control Number: 10/698,487

Art Unit: 2176

original structured document is one of a SGML or XML document and storing each of the first level XHTML fragments;

Perry discloses a method of formatting XML document into XHTML (page 3, paragraph [0029]. Perry discloses that each XML fragment (level element) is converted by a type of instruction into a markup language fragment (the markup language preferably being understood by a Web browser) by specifying a transform to apply to the XML fragment, and the type of instruction specifies which transformation is to be apply to the XML fragment (page 3, paragraph [0030]. In addition, Perry discloses a data store for storing each of the plurality of markup language fragments after the conversion (Abstract and page 2, paragraph [0016]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Perry with HTML Tidy to include providing each first level element to an element handler, wherein a first level element is provided to an element handler established for the first level element type and element handler is operated to generate a first level XHTML content fragment, wherein the original structured document is one of a SGML or XML document and storing each of the first level XHTML fragments. The construction of a document written in a markup language can thus be reduced to the assembly, execution and then conversion rendering of multiple workflow task (Perry, [0018]).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,487 Page 5

Art Unit: 2176

5. **Regarding independent claim 10**, please refer to the rationale relied upon to reject independent claim 1, which contains substantially similar subject matter as independent claim 10.

- 6. **Regarding dependent claims 2 and 11,** HTML Tidy teaches parsing each first level element (see pg. 4 Layout style; pg. 11, last paragraph; see pg. 19: i.e., HTML and XML Parsers).
- 7. Regarding dependent claims 3 and 12, HTML Tidy teaches determining whether each first level element contains a second level element (see pg. 19: i.e., HTML and XML Parsers; pg. 2 Examples of TIDY at work; pg. 4 Layout style; pg. 11, last paragraph. In traversing a hierarchical structured document, a parser inherently determines whether there exists another level of elements beyond the first level).
- 8. Regarding dependent claims 4 and 13, HTML Tidy teaches generating second level XHTML content fragment corresponding to each element in the set of second level elements. HTML Tidy teaches traversing a structured document (see pg. 19: i.e., HTML and XML Parsers) and mapping the elements contained in the original structured document with the XHTML content fragment in order to perfect the code (see pg. 2 Examples of TIDY at work). HTML TIDY then builds a clean parse tree and generates output for the code data (see pg. 4 Layout style; pg. 11, last paragraph).

9. **Regarding dependent claims 5 and 14,** HTML Tidy teaches a method of parsing and converting a structured document to XHTML, but does not explicitly teach: storing each of the second level XHTML fragments.

Page 6

Perry discloses a data store for storing each of the plurality of markup language fragments after the conversion and repeating the processing, converting and storing steps to create a completed response document comprising a plurality of markup language fragments (Abstract and page 2, paragraphs [0015]-[0016]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Perry with HTML Tidy to include storing each of the second level XHTML fragments. The construction of a document written in a markup language can thus be reduced to the assembly, execution and then conversion rendering of multiple workflow task (Perry, [0018]).

10. **Regarding dependent claims 6, 7, 15, and 16,** HTML Tidy teaches determining and inserting the appropriate DOCTYPE element as per the W3C recommendations (see pg. 4, 3rd paragraph). Furthermore, although not explicitly taught by HTML tidy, it was commonly known to those of ordinary skill in the art and would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that a standalone document declaration can be included in a structured document (i.e., XML) for the motivational purpose of indicating whether the document contains external markup declarations that affect the content of the document.

Art Unit: 2176

- 11. **Regarding dependent claims 8 and 17,** HTML Tidy teaches opening the structured document (see pg. 9 How to run Tidy, *et seq.*).
- 12. Claims 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dave Raggett, "Clean up your Web Pages with HTML Tidy", 4th version (August 2000), pgs. 1-21 ("HTML Tidy"), further in view of Perry, US Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0261017, and in further view of Fong et al. ("Fong"), U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0166141.
- 13. **Regarding dependent claims 9 and 18,** HTML Tidy, in view of Perry, teach traversing a structured document (see pg. 19: i.e., HTML and XML Parsers) and mapping the elements contained in the original structured document with the XHTML content fragment in order to perfect the code (see pg. 2 Examples of TIDY at work), but does not explicitly teach generating a list of cross references including each element having a cross reference identification.

However, Fong teaches maintaining a history list of elements that have been referenced previously (see paragraphs [0018], [00141-143]). Since the references are from the same field of endeavor, the motivational purpose of providing a more efficient and faster user interface for mapping structured information to different structured information by reference as disclosed by Fong would have been recognized in the pertinent art of HTML Tidy, in view of Perry. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of

Art Unit: 2176

HTML Tidy, in view of Perry, with the teachings of Fong to include generating a list of cross references including each element having a cross reference identification.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments and amendments filed on 03/13/2007 have been fully considered but they are not deemed fully persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as explained here below, necessitated by Applicant's substantial amendment (i.e., providing each first level element to an element handler, wherein a first level element is provided to an element handler established for the first level element type and element handler is operable to generate a first level XHTML content fragment corresponding to each first level element) to the claims which significantly affected the scope thereof.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,487 Page 9

Art Unit: 2176

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chau Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4092. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:30

pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached at (571) 272-4136.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306. On July 15, 2005, the Central Facsimile (FAX) Number will

change from 703-872-9306 to 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chau Nguyen
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2176

Doug Hutton
Primary Examiner